Thermodynamics HW #6

Due October 7

1. Entropy of Ice

(a) Included in the "Homework" folder of the class Canvas site is a text file called $HW#6$ -heat-capicities.csv containing raw data¹ from measurements of the heat capacity c_v of one mole of ice² as it is heated from 10K to 273.10K. Using Schroeder equation (3.19) construct a plot of the approximate value of $S(T)$ – $S(10K)$ in units of cal./K/mol., and write down the value of $\Delta S_1 \equiv S(273.10K)$ – $S(10K)$. Compute all numbers out to 3 decimal places. The result is somewhat sensitive to just how you approximate the integral, so consider your answer correct if you are within 10%.

Answer: $\Delta S_1 = 9.081$ cal./K/mol.

(b) We can estimate the molar heat capacity from 0K to 10K by fitting our measurements to the low temperature limit of the Debye model, which is expected to accurately describe the behavior of solids in this temperature regime. The model predicts:

$$
c_v = \frac{12\pi^4}{5} R \left(\frac{T}{T_D}\right)^3
$$

where R is the ideal gas constant (1.987 cal/K/mol), and T_D is a fitting parameter. Using $T_D = 192K$, plot this function (as a curve) from 0K to 20K along with any raw data from the text file lying in this range (as a scatter plot) and confirm that the fit is good over this range. Then use Schroeder (3.19) again (analytically this time!) to determine $\Delta S_2 \equiv S(10K) - S(0K)$. Answer: $\Delta S_2 =$.022 cal./ K/mol .

(c) As it stands we have ice at 273.10% . If $P = 23.756$ torr and we heat the system further the ice will melt. Using Schroeder equation (3.17) and a value of 1435.7 cal./mol for latent heat of melting, compute the change in the entropy $\Delta S_3 \equiv \Delta S_{s \to l}$ from melting the ice.

Answer: $\Delta S_3 = 5.257 \text{ cal.}/\text{K/mol.}$

(d) Now we heat the liquid at constant pressure to $298.10°K$ at which point we hit the boiling point of water 3 and completely vaporize the liquid. We could use the

¹W. F. Giauque and J. W. Stout, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 1936 58 (7), 1144-1150 ² actually c_p but $c_p \approx c_v$ for incompressible materials like ice (why?)

³Why does it boil at a temperature much lower than 100C?

same techniques as in parts (a) and (c) to find the entropy increase associated with these two steps but I'll save you the trouble and tell you that

 $\Delta S_4 \equiv S(298.10\text{K}) - S(273.10\text{K}) + \Delta S_{l\rightarrow g} = 36.800 \text{ cal.}/\text{K/mol}.$

We then perform a hypothetical compression of the gas at constant temperature from $P = 23.756$ torr to 760 torr (atmospheric pressure). I say the compression is hypothetical because we are at the boiling point so the water vapor would in reality liquify but we will instead suppose that the gas remains ideal throughout the compression. Even though the Sackur-Tetrode equation was derived assuming a monatomic gas, Schroeder equation (2.51) can be applied to determine the entropy change $\equiv \Delta S_5$ associated with the compression of water vapor⁴ Calculate ΔS_5 .

Answer: $\Delta S_5 = -6.886 \text{ cal.}/\text{K/mol}.$

(e) Sum up the ΔS_n and compare to the value $S_{\text{theory}} = 45.10 \text{ cal.}/\text{K/mol}$. obtained from a statistical mechanics calculation⁵ of the molar entropy of water vapor at STP (standard temperature and pressure): 298.10K and $P = 1$ atm. What does this imply about the entropy at 0K? What does this imply about the ground state of ice? See the discussion in Shroeder after equation (3.21).

Answer: $S_{\text{theory}} - \sum_{n=1}^{5} \Delta S_n = .82 \text{ cal.}/\text{K/mol}.$

2. Residual Entropy of Ice

How do we account for the failure of ice to reach zero entropy at 0K? In 1935 Linus Pauling⁶ came up with an explanation that has since been referred to as "one of the most successful applications of elementary statistical mechanics to real substances."⁷

From x-ray diffraction it was known that every oxygen lies at the center of a tetrahedron formed by four neighboring oxygen. The locations of the hydrogens however are typically very difficult to determine from x-ray diffraction. Using chemistry as a guide Pauling makes the following assumptions:

- (a) One and only one hydrogen lies between each two oxygen atoms. This arrange maximizes the stability of the crystal by allowing for each hydrogen atom to form two bonds – a chemical bond with one oxygen and a hydrogen bond with the other.
- (b) The hydrogen atoms will lie closer to one oxygen (forming a strong chemical bond with bond length 0.95\AA) than the other (forming a weaker hydrogen bond with bond length 1.81\AA). He denies the possibility that the hydrogens should lie exactly halfway between the two, arguing that "the magnitudes of the changes in the properties of steam to ice are not sufficently great to permit us to assume that this distance [the gas phase O-H bond length of 0.95\AA] is increased to 1.38\AA ."

⁴Here's the idea: changing the volume of an ideal gas affects the multiplicity by changing the number of possible points in space the particles can occupy. Changing the positions of the particles, however, does not change the energy of a microstate, so the multiplicity factorizes into $\Omega(V)\Omega(U, f)$, where f is the number of degrees of freedom. In terms of entropy this means the $S_{\text{tot}} = S_V + S_{U,f}$, but since U is fixed in isothermal compression the $S_{U,f}$ term will not contribute the entropy *difference* between the initial and final states, and therefore the change in entropy from isothermal compression is independent of f . This means an expression valid for a monatomic ideal gas should hold for arbitrary f.

 5 A. R. Gordon, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2**, 65 (1934), pg. 65

 6 L. Pauling, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1935** 57 (12), 2680-2684

⁷Elliott H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. 162, 162

(c) Each oxygen will possess two chemical bonds and two hydrogen bonds. This is justified by the scarcity of OH⁻ and H_3O^+ ions in water's liquid state.

Figure 1: Diagram of the microscopic structure of ice. The larger darker spheres are oxygen and the smaller lighter ones are hydrogen.

See figure 1 for an illustration of the above three rules. Pauling argues that the non zero entropy of ice at 0K is due to the large number of ways (i.e. multiplicity) of arranging the hydrogens in ice while obeying the above three rules.

- (a) Show that the number of ways of arranging the hydrogens while obeying rules (a) and (b) is 2^{2n} , where *n* is the number of oxygen atoms in the solid.
- (b) Consider a single one of the n oxygens and the four hydrogens surrounding it (figure 2). Of the 2^{2n} total configurations satisfying rules (a) and (b), show that 3 $\frac{3}{8}$ ^{ths} of them satisfy rule (c) for this particular oxygen atom only.
- (c) Suppose then that we consider the $\frac{3}{8}2^{2n}$ configurations satisfying not only rules (a) and (b) for all the oxygen atoms but also rule (c) for one of the oxygen atoms. Assume (without proof!) that again $\frac{3}{8}$ ^{ths} of these configurations satisfy rule (c) for some second oxygen atom. Suppose this same trend continues for the third, fourth, fifth, \dots , n^{th} oxygen atom. Show then that of the original 2^{2n} configurations satisfying rules (a) and (b) there are only $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$ $\frac{3}{2}$ ⁿ configurations that also satisfy rule (c).
- (d) Compute the "residual entropy" associated with this $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)$ $\frac{3}{2}$ ⁿ multiplicity of ice at 0K. Compare this with the experimentally determined molar entropy of ice at

Figure 2: The environment arround an oxygen. The configuration shown is valid in that it obeys rules (a), (b), and (c).

> 0K computed in part (e) of the previous problem. Answer: $R \ln \frac{3}{2} = .805 \text{ cal/K/mol}.$